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Binomial Asset Pricing Model

Two Components to Market

Stock (risky)

Random Variable \((S_n)\) determined by sequence of “coin flips” \((\omega_1, ..., \omega_n)\)

Money Market (risk-free)

Investment with constant return \(M_{n+1} = (1 + r)M_n\) for some fixed interest rate \(r > 0\)

Example of a 1-period Binomial Model
Securities and Arbitrage

**Definition**

A *derivative security* is a security based on an underlying asset $S_n$ which pays out some value $V_n$ depending on the results of the coin toss.

**Definition**

*Arbitrage* is a trading strategy that begins with no money, has positive probability of profit, and zero probability of loss.

**Fundamental Question of Arbitrage Pricing Theory**

What price should a derivative security be sold at so there is a unique optimal hedging strategy that eliminates loss?
Options

European Call Option

\[ V_N = (S_N - K)^+ \], where \( S_N \) is the stock price at time \( N \) and \( K \) is the agreed-upon strike price.

Lookback Option

\[ V_N = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N} (S_i - S_N), \] where \( S_i \) is the stock price at time \( i \leq N \) and \( S_N \) is the stock price at time \( N \).

Asian Call Option

\[ V_N = (\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{N} S_i}{N} - K)^+ \], where \( S_N \) is the stock price at time \( N \) and \( K \) is the agreed-upon strike price.
Definition

A **probability space** \((\Omega, \mathbb{P})\) consists of

- A set \(\Omega\), called a **probability space**
- A probability measure \(\mathbb{P} : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, 1]\) such that
  1. \(\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1\)
  2. If \(A, B \subseteq \Omega, A \cap B = \emptyset\), then \(\mathbb{P}(A \cup B) = \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B)\)

Definition

Let \((\Omega, \mathbb{P})\) be a finite probability space. A **random variable** \(X : \Omega \rightarrow A\) for some set \(A\) is a real-valued function on \(\Omega\).
Definition

Let $M_0, M_1, \ldots, M_n$ be a stochastic process, or a sequence of random variables indexed by time, with each $M_n$ depending on the first $n$ coin tosses. This is an adapted stochastic process.

Example

The stock price $S_n$ at time $n$ is an adapted stochastic process.

Definition

A martingale is a stochastic process $M_0, M_1, \ldots$ such that $M_n = \mathbb{E}_n(M_{n+1})$. 
Risk-Neutral Probabilities

Definition

Given a market \( \{\Omega, \mathbb{P}, \{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}, r\} \), a **risk-neutral probability measure** is some \( \tilde{\mathbb{P}} : \Omega \to [0, 1] \) such that

- \( \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\omega) = 0 \iff \mathbb{P}(\omega) = 0 \) for all \( \omega \in \Omega \)
- The discounted prices of all assets are martingales – i.e.
  \[
  V_n = \frac{1}{(1+r)^n} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}(V_{n+1}) \text{ for all securities } V
  \]
  \[
  \implies V_0 = \frac{1}{(1+r)^N} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}(V_N) \text{ for all securities } V.
  \]

Example

The risk-neutral probabilities for a stock \( S_n \) on a binomial asset model are given by:

\[
\tilde{p} = \frac{1+r-d}{u-d}, \quad \tilde{q} = \frac{u-1-r}{u-d}
\]
Hedging by Backward Recursion

Delta-Hedging Formula (Shreve)

Let $V_n(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n) = \frac{1}{(1+r)^{N-n}} \tilde{E}[V_N | \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n]$. Then

$$\Delta_n(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n) = \frac{V_{n+1}(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n, H) - V_{n+1}(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n, T)}{S_{n+1}(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n, H) - S_{n+1}(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n, T)}.$$

Wealth Process

$$X_{n+1} = (1 + r)(X_n - \Delta_n S_n) + \Delta_n S_{n+1}$$
The trinomial model is an example of an incomplete market. It is slightly more accurate than the binomial model under appropriate circumstances, in particular for more complex options and over small time intervals.
Trinomial Model

Exercise

Show that a two-step trinomial model is incomplete.

Wealth Process Revisted

The two formulae below yield 12 equations for our 8 unknowns, making our solution over-determined.

\[ X_1(\omega_1) = (1 + r)(X_0 - \Delta_0 S_0) + \Delta_0 S_1(\omega_1) \]

\[ X_2(\omega_1 \omega_2) = (1 + r)(X_1(\omega_1) - \Delta_1 S_1(\omega_1)) + \Delta_1(\omega_1)S_2(\omega_1 \omega_2) \]
Basic Problem

Given $V_N \in \mathcal{L}^2(P)$ on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, minimize

$$\mathbb{E}[(V_N - c - G_T(\xi))^2]$$

over all $\xi \in \Theta$, where $\Theta$ is the set of all predictable processes $\xi$ such that $\xi_k \Delta S_k \in \mathcal{L}^2(P)$ and $G(\xi) := \sum_{j=1}^{k} \xi_j \Delta S_j$. 
Framing the Problem

Interpretation

- $S$ is the price process of some risky asset.
- $V_N$ represents the random loss (contingent claim).
- $c$ is the initial capital.
- $G_T(\xi)$ is the sum of all gains from trade up to time $T$.

With this interpretation, the goal in the basic problem is to minimize the expected net square loss experienced by the investor through choosing a trading strategy.
Decomposition of Processes

Semimartingale Decomposition

The process $X$ can be written in its almost-surely unique Semimartingale Decomposition as

$$X = X_0 + M + A,$$

where $M \in \mathcal{L}^2$ is a martingale with $M_0 = 0$ and $A \in \mathcal{L}^2$ is a predictable process with $A_0 = 0$.

Föllmer-Schweizer Decomposition

For a contingent claim $V_N \in \mathcal{L}^2$, the Föllmer-Schweizer Decomposition of $V_N$ is given by

$$V_N = V_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \xi_j \Delta S_{j+1} + L_N$$
Formulae for $\xi_n$ and $L_N$

For an $\mathcal{F}_n$ measurable event $\omega$, we have the following formulae:

**Recursive Formula for $\xi$**

$$
\xi_n(\omega) = \frac{\text{Cov} \left( V_N - \sum_{j=n}^{N-1} \xi_j \Delta S_{j+1}, \Delta S_{n+1} \mid \omega \right)}{\text{Var}(\Delta S_{n+1} \mid \omega)}
$$

**Formula for $L$**

$$
L_n(\omega) = \mathbb{E}[V_N - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \xi_j \Delta S_{j+1} \mid \omega] - \mathbb{E}[V_N - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \xi_j \Delta S_{j+1}]
$$
The Result

Theorem

In the binomial model, Föllmer and Schweizer’s (1989) method of hedging by sequential regression is equivalent the delta-hedging formula described in Shreve (2004). That is, given an $F_n$ measurable event $\omega$,

$$\xi_n(\omega) = \frac{\text{Cov} \left( V_N - \sum_{j=n}^{N-1} \xi_j \Delta S_{j+1}, \Delta S_{n+1} \mid \omega \right)}{\text{Var}(\Delta S_{n+1} \mid \omega)}$$

$$= \frac{V_{n+1}(\omega H) - V_{n+1}(\omega T)}{S_{n+1}(\omega H) - S_{n+1}(\omega T)} = \Delta_n(\omega)$$
Find $\xi_0/\Delta_0$ for the binomial market shown below:

Stock Prices

Discounted Option Values
Market Perturbations

**Decomposition of \( \Delta S \)**

Consider the change in stock price at each time step, decomposed as

\[
\Delta S_n = \lambda \Delta t + \sigma \Delta W
\]

**Market Perturbations**

Define a **market perturbation**, for some \( \varepsilon, \lambda', \sigma' \in \mathbb{R} \) as

\[
\Delta S_n^\varepsilon = (\lambda + \varepsilon \lambda') \Delta t + (\sigma + \varepsilon \sigma') \Delta W
\]
Stability of Sequential Regression

**Question**

We consider the stability of sequential regression under market perturbations, that is, as $\epsilon \to 0$, does $\xi^\epsilon \to 0$.

**Theorem**

*The hedging process $\xi$ is stable under market perturbations.* I.e.

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \xi_k^\epsilon(\omega) = \xi_k^0(\omega)$$

for all $k \in \{0, \ldots, N - 1\}$ and all $\mathcal{F}_k$-measurable $\omega$. 

Asymptotic Analysis

**Theorem**

*In the finite, discrete time setting, the perturbed hedge $\xi^\varepsilon_n$ converges asymptotically to $\xi^0_n$. That is,*

$$
\xi^\varepsilon_n \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \to 0} \xi^0_n
$$

$$
\xi_n - \xi^0_n \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \to 0} \tilde{\xi}_n,
$$

*where $\tilde{\xi}_n$ is a predictable process based on market parameters.*
Thank You!